

Moot Proposition

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BHARTHIYANA

Writ Petition (Criminal) No. ____ of 2026

Abdul Rahman (Father of Late Abdul Fazeel)

...Petitioner

versus

Union of Bharthiyana & Ors.

...Respondents

Factual Background

1. Bharthiyana is a quasi-federal democratic country with over 5000 years of history, rich cultural heritage, and a written Constitution regarded as one of the lengthiest in the world. It attained independence from Great Utain in 1947 and adopted a parliamentary form of government. Bharthiyana is committed to the ideals of justice, liberty, and equality, and its governance is based on the principles enshrined in its Constitution.
2. On 14 July 2024, a complaint was filed at Karnapura police station against Abdul Fazeel (Hereinafter referred to as “**Accused**”), a 27-year-old resident of Karnapura District. He was arrested on the allegations of committing aggravated penetrative sexual assault against a minor girl in his neighbourhood. According to the complaint, the incident occurred on 13 July 2024, a day before the complaint was filed, when the victim’s parents had gone to the market, and the victim was alone at home. There were no eyewitnesses, but the victim was able to identify the accused. The case, registered under Section 65(2) of the BHARATIYA NYAYA SANHITA, 2023 (BNS), read with Section 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (POCSO), attracted immense media attention and led to widespread outrage.
3. Following the arrest of the accused, the local police invoked the Criminal Procedure (Identification) Act, 2022 (“the Act”) to collect his “measurements,” to help and aid the investigation. The Act, under Section 2(b), defines “measurements” to include finger-impressions, palm-print impressions, foot-print impressions, photographs, iris and retina scans, physical and biological samples, and their analysis. However, it does not prescribe any detailed clarity on the scope or limits of “biological samples.” In Abdul Fazeel’s case, the police insisted on taking multiple categories of measurements. While finger and palm impressions were taken without any incident, the accused was allegedly

beaten when he hesitated to provide biological samples, including buccal swabs and blood. The police collected “whatever samples that could be useful,” extending to hair follicles and nail clippings, as there were no procedural safeguards prescribed in the Act regarding the quantity or type of samples that could reasonably be demanded. And Abdul Fazeel suffered visible injuries such as bruises on his face, swelling under his eye, and a cut near his lips.

4. The police justified their actions by arguing that since the accused is charged with a heinous crime against a child, the Act permitted non-consensual collection of biological material to aid scientific investigation of the case. The accused maintained that he was being treated as guilty even before trial, and that the coercive nature of the process itself violated his fundamental rights.
5. During the trial, the prosecution relied heavily on the DNA analysis due to the lack of any other evidence, which linked the accused’s samples to the biological traces recovered from the victim. However, the defence argued that the DNA was inadmissible, as it was obtained under duress, in violation of Article 20(3) (protection against self-incrimination) and Article 21 (right to dignity and privacy). The Sessions Court, nevertheless, convicted Abdul Fazeel in April 2024 and sentenced him to life imprisonment. His appeal remained pending.
6. After conviction, Abdul Fazeel was lodged in Karnapura Central Jail. The Criminal Procedure (Identification) Rules, 2022 (“the Rules”) merely required that all photographic and biometric recordings be done in accordance with the SOP as established under the Rules. In practice, the prison authorities interpreted this rule to mean that full-body digital images including naked photographs can be taken from every prisoner, and the convict was compelled to strip naked in the presence of multiple wardens, while his body was being photographed. The convict described this process as “the most degrading moment of his life,” however, his objections were ignored.
7. By this time, the National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB) was operating the national database of DNA and prisoner records as per the Act. Instead of retaining complete control, the NCRB entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with GeneSecure Analytics Pvt. Ltd. (“GeneSecure”), a private company that is trusted by millions of business users for data management. GeneSecure was tasked with storing samples, operating servers, and providing analytical assistance to state agencies. Critics had warned that outsourcing such sensitive functions without explicit legislative safeguards

amounted to excessive delegation of state responsibility. However, the State maintained that the company was bound by a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) with the government and, therefore, this instrument restricted any third-party involvement. Additionally, the government ensured that GeneSecure was responsible for creating a separate database where all the shared data is stored, and access to this database was monitored and restricted to officials authorised by the government.

8. On 24 August 2025, media outlets reported a large-scale foreign cyber intrusion attempt targeting GeneSecure's servers. Although initially described as an "attempt," subsequent disclosures revealed that there was indeed a breach, resulting in the leakage of considerable volumes of sensitive genetic data, including DNA profiles, prisoner photographs, and biometric identifiers. Among the leaked materials were highly revealing images of numerous undertrial and convicted prisoners. Naked pictures of the accused were widely circulated across social media platforms, meme forums, and news portals, drawing widespread ridicule, leading to harassment of convicts, including Abdul Fazeel, within the prison.
9. Inside prison, the circulation of Abdul Fazeel's naked photographs on the internet had already caused irreversible damage to his dignity. During the hearing of his appeal, the High Court carefully examined the evidence and ultimately acquitted him due to lack of legal and reliable proof. The Court noted that the prosecution's case rested almost entirely on DNA evidence. The collection of biological samples had been carried out under circumstances that raised serious doubts regarding voluntariness and procedural fairness. The Court observed that there were no eyewitnesses, no recovery linking the accused to the scene, and that the victim's identification, though sincere, lacked corroboration. The High Court held that the prosecution had "failed to establish an unbroken chain of custody" with respect to the biological samples and that the "coercive circumstances surrounding their extraction created grave doubt about their evidentiary value." Further, the Court found that the absence of any other independent evidence made it unsafe to uphold the conviction.
10. After returning home, Abdul Fazeel's parents began noticing a visible change in his behaviour. He appeared constantly distressed, withdrawn, and unable to engage in conversations. Due to the widespread circulation of his photographs, his employer terminated his services, citing potential "reputational complications." He stopped meeting friends, avoided leaving the house, and remained shut in his room for long

hours. His parents observed that he had become increasingly elusive, barely eating, rarely speaking, and showing signs of deep emotional turmoil caused by the unending public humiliation.

11. On 11 December 2025, Abdul Fazeel was found dead by hanging in his room. A handwritten suicide note recovered from the scene stated that life had become unbearable for him because the leaked photographs had completely destroyed his dignity and the very purpose of living. Even after being acquitted, he saw no possibility of reclaiming a normal life. Although the death was officially recorded as “suicide by asphyxiation,” the circumstances surrounding it reflected the devastating impact of the privacy breach and the systemic failures that allowed such sensitive material to enter the public domain.
12. Abdul Rahman, father of the deceased, has approached the Hon’ble Supreme Court under Article 32 of the Constitution of Bharthiyana, challenging the constitutionality of the provisions under the Criminal Procedure (Identification) Act, 2022 and seeking accountability from the State for such a huge lapse in securing such sensitive data that ultimately drove his son to suicide.
13. Taking cognizance of the overlapping constitutional questions raised, the Hon’ble Supreme Court of Bharthiyana is set to adjudicate the matters collectively and has framed the following issues for consideration.

ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

ISSUE I

Whether the absence of a clear definition of “measurements” under Section 2(b) of the Criminal Procedure (Identification) Act, 2022, enabled the police to use excessive force on Abdul Fazeel for extracting biological samples, thereby resulting in arbitrary enforcement, eventually leading to violation of Article 14 of the Constitution of Bharthiyana?

ISSUE II

Whether the outsourcing of Abdul Fazeel’s biological samples to a private laboratory without safeguards amounts to excessive delegation under the Act and infringes his right to privacy guaranteed under Article 21?

ISSUE III

Whether the provision authorising compulsory collection of Abdul Fazeel’s biological samples without his consent violates the constitutional protection against self-incrimination under Article 20(3)?

ISSUE IV

Whether the classification under the Act that mandates non-consensual collection in cases involving women, children, and heinous offences is reasonable, or whether it violates the guarantees of equality and non-discrimination under Article 14?

NOTE:

- 1. The Laws of Bharthiyana are Pari Materia to the Laws of India.**
- 2. Bharthiyana is a signatory to all the International Conventions and Treaties as India.**
- 3. The issue of Jurisdiction and Maintainability shall not be raised.**

ANNEXURE I

Suicide Note of Abdul Fazeel (Translated to English)

The note was found on Abdul's study table, written in his handwriting on a single sheet of paper.

"My name is Abdul Fazeel. I am writing this knowing that by the time anyone reads this letter, I will no longer be here.

The Court has acquitted me. The law has declared that I am innocent. But the system that was supposed to protect me has failed me at every step. The photographs taken of me inside the jail were ones I never consented to, and I never understood their purpose. Those images were allowed to be released into the world, stripping me of every shred of dignity I was left with.

Because of this, I lost my job, I lost my peace, and I lost the simple ability to walk outside without feeling like my body was being kept for public display. People stared at me, whispered, laughed, and pulled out their phones as if I were a spectacle.

What destroyed me was not the trial, but what happened outside of it, the permanent humiliation that came from a system that could not keep my most private images safe. The State took my measurements, my photographs, my identity, but could not protect them. My rights were violated long before any Court could protect them.

Even after being proven innocent, I was treated as if I had no right to dignity, no right to privacy, and no right to live a normal life. I tried to endure it for the sake of my parents, but every passing day, my spirit broke a little more. The weight of the shame was heavier than anything I had ever known.

I am not dying because I am guilty; I am dying because the system failed to protect me. Because society punished me for something I never committed. My life has become a sentence with no end, a punishment that did not come from law but from exposure and ridicule.

I hope, one day, this country will understand that dignity is a right, not a privilege, and that no innocent person should be destroyed because the system could not safeguard their identity.

I beg of you, whoever reads this, not to let anyone face what I had to face. My life is already over. At least save others."

Please forgive me.

Abdul Fazeel."

8th SURANA & SURANA & KLE LAW COLLEGE
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW
MOOT COURT COMPETITION 2025-26

On Campus

Feb 27th, Feb 28th & Mar 1st, 2026

RULES

**Host &
Joint Organisers**



**KLE Law College
Bengaluru**

**Professional Partner &
Joint Organiser**



**Surana & Surana International Attorneys
Chennai**

Venue

**KLE Law College
#3, CA-2 V Block, West Circle
Sir M Vishweswaraiah Layout
Ullal Post, Bengaluru 560 091**

RULES AND REGULATIONS

I. Aim & Purpose

The Surana & Surana and KLE Law College Constitutional Law Moot has been conceived with the aim to

- i. Create awareness on Constitutional Law in a systematic / scientific manner and train participants for effective practice.
- ii. Facilitate the evolution of Constitutional Law through the jurisprudential analysis of the existing law and consequential emergence of new laws besides developing cutting edge skills in research, writing and advocacy.

II. Venue and Date

The **8th Surana & Surana & KLE Law College Constitutional Law Moot Court Competition** shall be held on **Feb 27th, Feb 28th & Mar 1st, 2026, on Campus** at KLE Law College, #3, CA-2 V Block, West Circle, Sir M Vishweshwaraiah Layout, Ullal Post Bengaluru 560 091.

III. Language

The Competition shall be in the English language.

IV. Eligibility

The competition is open for students who are pursuing LLB three-year / five-year programme during the current academic year. One team per university/institution shall be eligible to participate.

V. Team Composition

- a. Each team should consist of a minimum of 2 and a maximum of 3 members. This number cannot be modified under any circumstances.
- b. There shall be 2 speakers and 1 researcher designated for each team. The researcher shall be allowed to argue with the prior permission of the court and Chief Administrator in case of illness of the designated speakers.
- c. Each team will be provided a team code prior to the submission of memorials via e-mail. This team code is to be used only for the soft copy of the memorials. Teams should not disclose the identity of their institution in their memorials; such disclosure shall invite penalties including disqualification. The decision for the same shall be at the discretion of the Chief Administrator.

VI. Registration

- a. Online registrations are open from **10th January 2026**. The teams must register online by **10th February 2026**. Teams will have to register online at www.moot.in.
- b. No temporary registration requests will be entertained. Kindly refrain from sending such requests to Surana & Surana or KLE Law College, Bengaluru.
- c. Participants will receive an automated system-generated acknowledgement on successful submission of registration. Participants will receive an approval email as acceptance of their request for participation latest by **11th February 2026** or earlier.
- d. **Registration Process**

Option 1 - The Registration form cum Approval letter as provided in the rules duly signed & sealed by your university / college / moot society.

Option 2 - Upload both (i) & (ii) together **as a single PDF**

- i. The Registration form cum Approval letter (without seal & signature) as provided in the rules duly filled along with
- ii. Scanned copy of authorisation letter with sign and seal from your university / college / moot society

Or

An email communication from the official ID (to be filled as notification email ID during online registration process) of the institution, from your university / college / moot society to complete the registration process.

Note :

1. Signatories on the registration form should be any of the following:- Faculty-in-charge of MCA / MCS / MCC, Registrar, Dean, Principal, Director, Chancellor or Vice-Chancellor
2. Registration without the scanned copy of the approval letter / an email communication from the college/institution/university or duly attested registration form will not be valid.
- e. There shall be no team cap for the number of institutes that wish to participate in the Competition.
- f. Soft copy of the registration and approval letter/ an email communication as a **single PDF** to be sent by email to: suranamoot@klelawcollege.org marked as **8th SURANA & SURANA & KLE LAW COLLEGE CONSTITUTIONAL LAW MOOT COURT COMPETITION - REGISTRATION** as subject of the mail latest by **10th February 2026**. The provisional team code shall be allotted to the registered teams by Host University soon after receiving of

registration particulars. **The Provisional team code is to be used for submission of only the soft copies of your memorials.**

- g. The registration fees for the Competition is:

With Accommodation: Rs. 8,000/-

Without Accommodation: Rs. 4,000/-

to be paid through the link provided [here](#). Payment receipt of the same shall be sent by e-mail to suranamoot@klelawcollege.org with the name of the institution as the subject of the mail latest by **12th February 2026**.

VII. Clarifications

Clarifications on the moot proposition may be sought before **11th February 2026** with an email to ai@lawidia.com & copy to suranamoot@klelawcollege.org.

VIII. Orientation

There will be an Orientation Program for all the participants on **27th February 2026**. It will be followed by a draw of lots and exchange of memorials for the Preliminary Rounds. **Competition team codes and side will be allotted during the orientation only.**

IX. Rounds

There will be two Preliminary Rounds of arguments, an Octa Final, a Quarter Final, a Semi Final and a Final round. The organisers reserve the right to amend the schedule / rounds as per the number of participating teams.

Octa final will be conducted if the participation **exceeds 24 teams**.

Quarter final will be conducted if the participation **exceeds 16 teams**.

Note : Schedule is subject to changes by the organisers.

Preliminary Rounds

- There will be two Preliminary Rounds of arguments per team, one for the Petitioner and another for Respondent side.
- No team will be facing each other more than once in the Preliminary Rounds.
- Each team will face a different two-judge bench in their second round of arguments.
- Preliminary Rounds I & II will be held on **28th February 2026**.
- The top sixteen / eight teams from the Preliminary Rounds will advance to the Octa / Quarter Final Rounds that will be decided on
 - Win points.
 - Win points + Aggregate raw points.
 - Win points + Aggregate raw points (in case of tie minus memorial marks)

Note : Aggregate raw points = oral scores + memorial score



**8th SURANA & SURANA & KLE LAW COLLEGE
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW
MOOT COURT COMPETITION - 2025-26**

On Campus: Feb 27th, Feb 28th & Mar 1st, 2026



Memorial marks will be added to the scores of the Preliminary Rounds only.

- f. The dress code shall be advocate's attire during the rounds of the competition.
- g. The oral arguments need not be confined to the contents that are presented in the submitted memorials, subject to the issues be covered within the case data.

Octa Final / Quarter Final / Semi-Final Round & Final Round:

- a. Draw of lots / power match will decide the sides of the arguments in Octa Finals, Quarter Finals, Semi Finals & Finals. The Octa Finals / Quarter Finals / Semi Finals are knockout rounds.
- b. The Octa Finals and Quarter Finals will be held on **28th February 2026**.
- c. The Semi-Final & Finals will be held on **28th February 2026**.

X. Ex-Parte Rounds (Preliminary Rounds I & II)

- a. In case of last-minute withdrawal / internet connectivity issue of any team during the On Campus / Virtual Session, the other opposing team as per the competition fixture will present their case to the bench and will be scored.
- b. Refer above **Clause IX e**, win points will not be applicable for this round; it will be treated as a non-competitive round.
- c. The Aggregate raw points (Oral scores + Memorial scores) of the team presented will be taken into records as valid.
- d. Qualifying teams advancing to Octa Finals (16 teams) / Quarter Finals (8 teams) - the team with at-least 1 Win point + maximum Aggregate raw points will qualify for the Advance round, subject to the **Top teams (16 / 8)** qualify with 2 Win points & 1 Win point with the higher Aggregate raw points.

XI. Results (Announcement)

Results will be announced within 30 minutes after the full completion of the respective Preliminary / Octa / Quarter / Semi-Final Rounds. The Final result and the winners of the various categories will be announced only during the valedictory / prize distribution ceremony.

XII. Memorial

The following requirements for memorials must be strictly followed. Non-conformities will be penalised:

- a. Each team must prepare memorials for both parties to the dispute (Petitioner and Respondent).
- b. The soft copy of the memorials for the Petitioner and Respondent should be mailed to suranamoot@klelawcollege.org with copy to ai@lawindia.com on or before **18th February 2026 latest by 11:59PM**.



**8th SURANA & SURANA & KLE LAW COLLEGE
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW
MOOT COURT COMPETITION - 2025-26**

On Campus: Feb 27th, Feb 28th & Mar 1st, 2026



- c. Late submission will be penalised by two points for every 6 hours of delay for each memorial.
- d. Once the memorials have been submitted, **no revisions, supplements or additions will be allowed.**
- e. The teams are requested to carry along **5 copies** from each side of the Memorials with them and submit the same during Registration on **27th February, 2026**. **The participants should mention their Competition code only on the hard copy of the memorials. The Competition code would be allotted to all the teams through a draw of lots during the Orientation on 27th February 2026.**
- f. The memorials have to be submitted on typed A4 size paper printed on one side and must contain:
 - Cover Page
 - The Table of Contents
 - The Index of Authorities
 - The Statement of Jurisdiction
 - The Statement of Facts (1 page only and argumentative statement of facts would attract penalties)
 - The Statement of Issues
 - The Summary of Arguments (not more than 1 page)
 - The Arguments Advanced (not more than 15 pages)
 - The prayer
- g. The font size should be 12 (one & half space) and for footnotes it should be 10 (single space) & double space between two-foot notes. Footnoting must conform to the Oxford University Standard for Citation of Legal Authorities (OSCOLA), 4th edition.
- h. The memorials should be staple-bound or stitched. Any other form of binding shall not be accepted.
- i. The memorial must have a margin measuring one inch on all sides of each page.
- j. The page numbering should be on the top right side of each page.
- k. Covers must be placed on briefs as follows:

Petitioner: Light Blue Color Respondent: Light Red Color.
- l. Use of Cellophane sheets over the covers or inside will be penalised.
- m. The cover page of the memorial must state the following:
 - i. Team / Competition Code (on the top right corner) of soft / hard copy
 - ii. The cause title
 - iii. Identify brief as Petitioner & Respondent as is applicable
- n. Identity of the institution shall not be revealed anywhere in the memorial, both soft and hard copy. Violation of this provision shall result in penalties including disqualification. The Chief Administrator's decision shall be final.

- o. The teams may share a separate paper book (compendium) of not more than 50 pages, which will carry all the annexure and case laws that have been referred to in the memorial. The paper book will have a white cover. Any identifying marks/seal of the college/university shall attract severe penalties including disqualification.

XIII. Oral Round

Preliminary Round, Octa Final & Quarter Final Round

- a. Each team will get a total of 30 minutes to present their case which will include rebuttal and sur-rebuttal time.
- b. The division of time is at the discretion of the team members, subject to a minimum of 10 minutes and maximum of 15 minutes per speaker (excluding the rebuttal time). Division of time shall be informed to the court officer before arguments begin.
- c. The oral arguments need not be confined to the contents that are presented in the submitted memorials, subject to the issues be covered within the case data.
- d. Passing of notes to the speakers by the researcher during the rounds is allowed subject to the discretion of the Judges.
- e. The attendance of the researcher at the time of the oral pleading rounds is compulsory.

Semi-Final & Final Round

- a. Each team will get a total of 45 minutes to present their case which will include rebuttal and sur-rebuttal time.
- b. The division of time is at the discretion of the team, subject to a minimum of 20 minutes and maximum of 25 minutes per speaker. Division of time shall be informed to the court officer before arguments begin.
- c. The oral arguments need not be confined to the contents that are presented in the submitted memorials, subject to the issues be covered within the case data.
- d. Passing of notes to the speakers by the researcher during the rounds is allowed subject to the discretion of the Judges.
- e. The attendance of the researcher at the time of the oral pleading rounds is compulsory.

XIV. Scouting

Teams will not be allowed to observe the orals of any other teams. Scouting is strictly prohibited. Scouting by any of the team members shall result in disqualification.

XV. Scoring

A. Orals

The parameters for judging the oral arguments on a scale of 0 – 100 points are:



**8th SURANA & SURANA & KLE LAW COLLEGE
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW
MOOT COURT COMPETITION - 2025-26**

On Campus: Feb 27th, Feb 28th & Mar 1st, 2026



- a. Knowledge of facts
- b. Logic and reasoning
- c. Organisation and clarity
- d. Persuasiveness
- e. Deference to the Court
- f. Proper and articulate analysis of the issues arising out of facts
- g. Understanding of the legal principles directly applicable to the issues
- h. Ability to explain clearly the legal principles in general keeping to the time allotted
- i. Knowledge and use of legal sources and authorities and general principles of law
- j. Ingenuity (ability to argue by analogy from related aspects of law)

B. Written Submission (Memorials)

- a. The memorials shall be marked on a scale of 0-100 points each.
- b. Any revisions, supplements, or additions to the memorials after submission shall attract severe penalties subject to the discretion of the Chief Administrator.
- c. Award of the points shall be based on the following parameters:
 - Neatness, legibility, no typographical errors or format errors
 - Logical progression of ideas
 - Effective use of headings to outline arguments.
 - Understanding essential legal issues presented
 - Focus on essential (not collateral) issues.
 - Clear, concise and unambiguous writing style
 - Forceful and persuasive presentation
 - Integration of facts into legal argument
 - Understanding of strengths and weaknesses of case
 - Discussion of viable alternative arguments
 - Understanding and analysis of authority
 - Proper use of citations and citation form
 - Effective use of authority to support arguments
 - Ability to distinguish adverse cases.

XVI. Awards

- i. Winner Trophy + Rs. 20,000/-
- ii. Runner up Trophy + Rs.10,000/-
- iii. Best Memorial Trophy
- iv. Best Student Advocate Trophy

In addition to the above awards, plaques and certificates will be given to other categories of winners. All participants will be issued participation certificates.

XVII. Anonymity

- a. Student counsel may introduce her / himself to the court in the usual manner and may also state her/his names. However, the team's college / institution affiliation may not be mentioned at any time before the Valedictory.
- b. Further, all team members, coaches, advisors, and observers shall refrain from identifying a team's institution at any time and in any manner, including, but not limited to, wearing any identifying items, such as school clothing, ties, patches, or pins or carrying identifying material (such as a books with a college logo, or college seal).

XIV. Live Streaming of the Finals

The organisers will arrange for the live streaming of only the finals of the competition through YouTube & Instagram, links be provided by Surana & Surana.

XV. Decision of the Judges will be Final & Binding

XVI. Copyright

- a. The copyright over the memorials submitted for participation in the competition is assigned by participants and shall also vest completely and fully in KLE Law College, Bengaluru and Surana & Surana International Attorneys Chennai. The participants shall certify in writing the originality of materials contained therein and shall be responsible for any claim or dispute arising out of the further use and exhibition of these materials.
- b. Further use and exhibition of these materials, electronically or otherwise, shall be the exclusive right of Surana & Surana International Attorneys, Chennai and KLE Law College, Bengaluru and they shall not be responsible for any liability to any person for any loss caused by errors or omissions in this collection of information, or for the accuracy, completeness, or adequacy of the information contained in these materials.
- c. Distribution of these materials on affiliated websites such as www.moot.in and other platforms by Surana & Surana does not constitute consent to any use of this material for commercial redistribution either via the Internet or using some other form of hypertext distribution. Links to the collection or individual pages in it are welcome.

XVII. Accommodation, Food & Transport

- (a) Accommodation and transport between the hostel / hotel to the competition venue for the teams availing accommodation will be provided by KLE Law College, Bengaluru.

- (b) Accommodation will be arranged only during the period of competition i.e., **27th February 2026 (12:00PM IST) till 1st March 2026 (06:00PM IST)**.
- (c) The accommodation fee is non-refundable.
- (d) The name and mobile number of the student volunteers in charge of transport/ accommodation will also be made available after finalization. Teams should fill in their travel plan in the sheet available on **Annexure B** positively to send the scanned copy by 11:59 PM on **16th February 2026** via eMail to suranamoot@klelawcollege.org.
- (e) Extra members over & above the prescribed number will not be entertained and accommodated under any circumstances.

Misbehaviour of any sort by the participants will be dealt very seriously and will lead to disqualification.

XVIII. The Chief Administrator of the competition is Prof. (Dr.) J M Mallikarjunaiah, Principal, KLE Law College, Bengaluru.

XIX. Any clarifications for the competition can be sought from:

Regarding Queries on Submission of Memorials/ Accommodation/ Transport / etc.

Asst. Prof. Harsh Pratap Singh

Faculty Coordinator, Moot Court Committee,
KLE Law College, Bengaluru
Cell No. +91 7406564999

Regarding Registration

Mr. A D Johnson Christy

Manager - Special Projects
(Academic Initiatives & Dispute Resolution)
Surana & Surana International Attorneys
eMail : ai@lawindia.com
Ph: +91 44 2812 0000

Contacts of the Organising Committee -

Sweekruthi S Maitri
Convener
+ 91 6364622930

Dev S Panchariya
General Secretary
+91 7975552151

Prateek Hiremath
Student Coordinator
+91 8197279064

Anvitha T
Student Coordinator
+91 9606555458

Neelesh Nandan
Student Coordinator
+91 7571848348

For any queries, kindly write to us at: suranamoot@klelawcollege.org.

IMPORTANT DATES

Start of Online Registration	10th January, 2026
Last date for Online Registration	10th February, 2026
Last date for receiving copies of Reg. Form/Approval letter by KLE, Bengaluru	10th February, 2026
Last date for seeking Clarification to the case	11th February, 2026
Release of Clarifications	12th February, 2026
Last date for Payment of Registration Fee	12th February, 2026
Last Date for submission of Travel Plans	16th February, 2026
Last Date for Submission of Memorials (both Petitioner and Respondent) Soft Copy by eMail with temporary Team code	18th February, 2026
Submission of Memorials 5 (Five) hard copies each (both Petitioner - 5 & Respondent - 5) (No markings, Competition code to be mentioned)	27th February, 2026
Inaugural, Orientation, Draw of Lots, Exchange of Memorial for Prelims I & II	27th February, 2026
Preliminary Rounds I & II, Octa Finals / Quarter Finals	28th February, 2026
Semi Finals, Finals, Valedictory & Prize Distribution	1st March, 2026



**8th SURANA & SURANA & KLE LAW COLLEGE
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW
MOOT COURT COMPETITION - 2025-26**

On Campus: Feb 27th, Feb 28th & Mar 1st, 2026



Date:

Registration form/ Approval letter

(Please fill in capital letters)

Undertaking

1. We hereby state that our participation complies with the rules and regulations of the competition.
2. We certify that the materials submitted/to be submitted are prepared by us and agree to indemnify the organisers, i.e., the Surana & Surana International Attorneys, Chennai and the KLE Law College, Bengaluru for any claim or dispute arising out of the further use and exhibition of these materials.

(All particulars must be given)

Name & Address of the participating Institution:

Notification Email:

Regd. Mobile #:

Name of the participant	Gender	Date of Birth	Course	Year / Semester	Signature

Seal &
signature of
the Head of
the Institution

The participating team shall submit the form to the E-mail: suranamoot@klelawcollege.org.

The subject of the email must be “**8th SURANA & SURANA & KLE LAW COLLEGE
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW MOOT - REGISTRATION**”.



**8th SURANA & SURANA & KLE LAW COLLEGE
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW
MOOT COURT COMPETITION - 2025-26**

On Campus: Feb 27th, Feb 28th & Mar 1st, 2026



TRAVEL PLAN (ANNEXURE B)

1. Name of Institution - _____
2. Team Code - _____
3. Arrival Details -
 - a. Mode of Arrival: Train / Air / Bus _____
 - b. Train No./Bus No./Flight No. _____
 - c. Estimated Time of Arrival _____
4. Departure Details -
 - a. Mode of Arrival: Train / Air / Bus _____
 - b. Train No./Bus No./Flight No. _____
 - c. Estimated Time of Departure _____
5. Any other details:

NOTE: This travel form should be mailed at suranamoot@klelawcollege.org by 11:59 PM IST on / before 16th February 2026.